

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL ITS OPPOSITION TO
OTTO TRUCKING'S SUMMARY
JUDGMENT PRÉCIS**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
 2 certain information in its Opposition to Otto Trucking’s Summary Judgment Précis (“Waymo’s
 3 Administrative Motion”).

4 Having considered Waymo’s Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been
 5 shown, the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the
 6 documents listed below:

7 Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal
8 Waymo’s Opposition to Otto 9 Trucking’s Summary Judgment 10 Précis (“Waymo’s Opposition”)	Highlighted in Blue
11 Exhibit 1 to Waymo’s Opposition	Entire Document

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13 Dated: _____, 2017

14 _____
 15 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
 16 United States Magistrate Judge
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28